

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Piazza, U.S. Patent No. 5,814,761. The Examiner alleges that element 152 is equivalent to the aperture claimed in the EMI shielding structure in claims 1-18. However, element 152 is not an aperture, as alleged by the Examiner. Rather, each element 152, is a quartz resonator, (column 9, lines 1-5; Fig. 3). Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.


CLOSING

An earnest effort has been made to be fully responsive to the Examiner's objections. In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that independent claims 1, 3, 10, 12, 15, and 18 are in condition for allowance, as well as those claims dependent therefrom. Passage of this case to allowance is earnestly solicited.

However, if for any reason the Examiner should consider this application not to be in condition for allowance, he is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned attorney at the number listed below prior to issuing a further Action.

Any fee due with this paper, not fully covered by an enclosed check, may be charged on
Deposit Account 50-1290.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael I. Markowitz
Reg. No. 30,659

KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN
575 MADISON AVENUE
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022
(212) 940-8687
DOCKET NO.:NECM 19.067
MIM:lh:NECM19067-2
CUSTOMER NO.: 026304